

## **Legislative Issue Review Checklist – New Issues**

Section A - General Criteria	Must be YES to all
1. Was the submission received by the deadline?	<ul><li>YES – Continue with review</li><li>NO – Stop review</li></ul>
2. Was the submission complete, including all required information and verifiable supporting evidence?	<ul><li>YES – Continue with review</li><li>NO – Stop review</li></ul>
3. Is the issue statewide in scope?	<ul><li>YES – Continue with review</li><li>NO – Stop review</li></ul>
4. Does the issue supplement but not conflict with the WSPTA legislative platform or with National PTA resolutions or position statements?	<ul><li>YES – Continue with review</li><li>NO – Stop review</li></ul>
5. Is the issue consistent with PTA purposes, values, vision, and mission? Does the issue relate to the health, safety, well-being, and education of children and youth?	<ul><li>YES – Continue with review</li><li>NO – Stop review</li></ul>
<ul> <li>PTA Purposes:</li> <li>A. To promote the welfare of children and youth in home, school, places of worship, and throughout the community;</li> <li>B. To raise the standards of home life;</li> <li>C. To advocate for laws that further the education, physical and mental health, welfare, and safety of children and youth;</li> <li>D. To promote the collaboration and engagement of families and educators in the education of children and youth;</li> <li>E. To engage the public in united efforts to secure the physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, and social well-being of all children and youth;</li> <li>F. To advocate for fiscal responsibility regarding public tax dollars in public education funding.</li> </ul>	
6. The issue does not harm or negatively impact WSPTA (including but not limited to legal, financial, volunteers and other resources, as well as implications to existing partnership and coalition agreements).	<ul><li>YES – Continue with review</li><li>NO – Stop review</li></ul>
<ul> <li>7. Does this issue meet the definition of a legislative issue versus a resolution?</li> <li>Legislative issues are short-term positions that seek solutions that can only be accomplished through legislative action of the Washington state legislature.</li> <li>Resolutions are long-term positions that offer solutions to policy issues at the federal, state or local school district level.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>YES - Continue with review</li> <li>NO – Stop review and refer to the resolutions committee</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8. Is this a state level legislative issue (versus federal level or local implementation)?</li> <li>State legislative issue - the legislature can make or change a law to address the problem.</li> <li>Federal legislative issue - only Congress can address the problem. Discuss with the WSPTA Federal Legislative Chair.</li> <li>Local implementation issue - school board, school district or school-level solutions to the problem. Can the advocacy committee provide guidance or resources to help with local advocacy?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>YES - Continue with review</li> <li>NO - Stop review</li> </ul>

Section B - Issue Criteria	24 Points Max
Title Character Limit     Does it meet the limit of fewer than 50 characters, including spaces?	<ul> <li>3 points: YES</li> <li>1 point: 10 characters or less over the limit</li> <li>0 points: More than 10 characters over the limit</li> </ul>
2. Title Accuracy  Does it accurately describe the issue?	<ul> <li>5 points: Title accurately describes the problem being addressed or the proposed solutions</li> <li>3 points: Title needs some revision to better reflect the problem being addressed or the proposed solutions</li> <li>0 points: Title does not aid understanding of the issue and needs major revision</li> </ul>
3. Issue Description  Does it meet the limit of fewer than 350 characters, including spaces and follow the required format?	<ul> <li>3 points: YES</li> <li>1 point: 25 characters or less over the limit</li> <li>0 points: More than 25 characters over the limit</li> </ul>
4. Persuasive Statement Word Limit  Does it meet the limit of fewer than 250 words?	<ul> <li>3 points: YES</li> <li>1 point: 25 words or less over the limit</li> <li>0 points: More than 25 words over the limit</li> </ul>
5. Persuasive Statement Strength Is it strong?	<ul> <li>5 points: Accurately describes the problem being addressed, the proposed solutions, and makes a strong case for having the issue on the WSPTA platform</li> <li>3 points: Needs some revision to better reflect the issue proposal and/or be more persuasive</li> <li>0 points: Does not aid understanding of the issue and needs major revision</li> </ul>
6. Citations Is the submission information verified?	<ul> <li>5 points: All information is verified</li> <li>3 points: Most information verified; some is not verified</li> </ul>
7. Stand-Alone or Combine Should this issue be combined with another proposal or an existing part of the platform?	YES     NO
Section C - Purpose Alignment & Impact	17 Points Max
1. Purpose Alignment Is the issue consistent with WSPTA's purposes, values, vision, and mission? Does it directly relate to the health, safety, well-being, and education of children and youth?  (See Section A5)	<ul> <li>5 points: Strong match to WSPTA purposes, values, vision, and mission. It directly relates to the health, safety, well-being, and education of children and youth</li> <li>3 points: Somewhat of a match to WSPTA purposes, values, vision, and mission. It somewhat relates to the health, safety, well-being, and education of children and youth. The match is not strong</li> </ul>
2. Scope Is this issue statewide in scope?	<ul> <li>3 points: This issue is statewide in scope</li> <li>1 point: This issue addresses a regional issue</li> <li>0 points: This issue only affects a few school districts or cities/counties</li> </ul>

3. Student Impact What % of students would this effect?	<ul> <li>3 points: This issue affects all public education students, or all students in a particular group (e.g., all special education students, all high school students, etc.)</li> <li>1 point: This issue affects many but not all students</li> <li>0 points: This issue would affect few students</li> </ul>
4. Student Outcomes  Would the proposed solutions in this issue increase student outcomes (increase health, welfare, safety, or better educational outcomes)?	<ul> <li>3 points: Solutions proposed are highly likely to address the problem, and increase student outcomes</li> <li>1 point: Unsure if the solutions proposed will increase student outcomes</li> <li>0 points: Solutions are unlikely to increase student outcomes</li> </ul>
5. Equity and Anti-Racism Does the proposed issue align with or support WSPTA's equity and anti-racism work?	<ul> <li>3 points: Strong alignment to equity and anti-racism work</li> <li>1 point: Moderate alignment to equity and anti-racism work</li> <li>0 points: No alignment to equity and anti-racism work</li> </ul>
Section D - Submitter/Team Availability	4 Points Max
1. Availability - Future Advocacy Is the submitter/team willing and able to participate in advocacy for this issue, including taking part in weekly conference calls and testifying in Olympia?	<ul> <li>3 points: Yes</li> <li>1 point: Some involvement</li> <li>0 points: No</li> </ul>
2. Availability - Legislative Assembly Will the submitter be available to attend legislative assembly?	<ul><li>1 point: Yes</li><li>0 points: No or unsure at this time</li></ul>
Section E - Platform Alignment	17 Points Max
Section E - Platform Alignment  (Section completed by WSPTA advocacy director, federal legislative charge)	
(Section completed by WSPTA advocacy director, federal legislative characters)  1. Current Platform Alignment  Does WSPTA or National PTA have a current position on this issue? If so,	<ul> <li>ir, and legislative consultant)</li> <li>5 points: WSPTA Top 5 Priority Issue (most recent platform)</li> <li>3 points: WSPTA Supported Issue (most recent platform) OR WSPTA legislative principle OR WSPTA resolution</li> <li>1 point: National PTA position statement OR National PTA resolution or other</li> </ul>

Total	85 Points Max
4. Role Is WSPTA in lead or supportive role?	<ul> <li>3 points: WSPTA leadership role</li> <li>1 point: WSPTA supportive role</li> </ul>
What potential alignment is there with our existing partners and coalitions?	<ul> <li>3 points: Limited alignment with partners and coalitions</li> <li>0 points: No alignment with partners and coalitions groups</li> </ul>
3. Potential Alignment	5 points: Strong alignment with partners and coalitions
2. Potential Opposition What opposition might be encountered in working on this policy in this legislative session?	<ul> <li>5 points: No opposition in legislature or stakeholder groups</li> <li>3 points: Limited opposition in legislature or stakeholder groups</li> <li>0 points: Significant opposition in legislature or stakeholder groups</li> </ul>
1. Actionability Is this position "actionable" in the next legislative session?	<ul> <li>10 points: Great opportunity to pass in the next session</li> <li>5 points: Average opportunity to pass, but gets issue on radar</li> <li>0 points: Little to no chance of passing in the next legislative session</li> </ul>
Section F - Timeliness & Visibility  (Section completed by WSPTA advocacy director, federal legislative charge)	23 Points Max air, and legislative consultant)
<b>6. Current State Law</b> What is current Washington state law or practice (if any) regarding this policy?	
uistricts of States? If SO, flow?	<ul> <li>1 point: These solutions have been proposed/laws passed but have no implementation track record yet</li> <li>0 points: These solutions have no track record</li> </ul>
5. Policy Solutions Do these policy solutions have a proven track record in other school districts or states? If so, how?	3 points: These solutions are being successfully implemented in other Washington school districts or states
	<ul> <li>1 point: There is mixed, weak, limited or outdated evidence on this policy solution</li> <li>0 points: There is no evidence supporting the solutions</li> </ul>
4. Research What does the research say about this policy solution? If so, what?	3 points: There is strong, recent evidence in support of the solutions proposed in this issue