

## **Legislative Principle Review Checklist – Amended Legislative Principles**

Section A - General Criteria			Must be YES to all
Was the submission received by the deadline?		•	YES – Continue with review NO – Stop review
2. Was the submission complete, including all requir	ed information and verifiable supporting evidence?	•	YES – Continue with review NO – Stop review
3. Is the legislative principle statewide in scope?		•	YES – Continue with review NO – Stop review
4. Does the legislative principle supplement but not resolutions or position statements?	conflict with the WSPTA legislative platform or with National PTA	•	YES – Continue with review NO – Stop review
5. Is the legislative principle consistent with PTA pur health, safety, well-being, and education of children	poses, values, vision, and mission? Does the principle relate to the en and youth?	•	YES – Continue with review NO – Stop review
<ul> <li>B. To raise the standards of home life;</li> <li>C. To advocate for laws that further the education</li> <li>D. To promote the collaboration and engagement</li> <li>E. To engage the public in united efforts to secure children and youth;</li> <li>F. To advocate for fiscal responsibility regarding processing the public of the processing proces</li></ul>			
6. The legislative principle does not harm or negatively impact WSPTA (including but not limited to legal, financial, volunteers and other resources, as well as implications to existing partnership and coalition agreements).		•	YES – Continue with review NO – Stop review
_ , ,	of a legislative principle? tual legislative or public policy objectives that align with the nt broad concepts and serve as the foundational basis for the legislative	•	<b>YES</b> – Continue with review <b>NO</b> – Stop review
<ul> <li>Budget, Revenue and Funding</li> <li>Family and Community Engagement</li> <li>Public Education Policies</li> <li>Health and Well-Being of Children and Youth</li> <li>Safe and Nurturing Environments for Children</li> </ul>		•	YES – Continue with review NO – Stop review

Section B - Legislative Principle Criteria	26 Points Max
<ol> <li>Legislative Principle Language Character Recommendation         Does it meet the recommendation of fewer than 100 characters, including spaces?     </li> <li>Legislative Principle Topic         Does the topic warrant a legislative principle?     </li> </ol>	<ul> <li>3 points: YES</li> <li>1 point: 10 characters or less over the limit</li> <li>0 points: More than 10 characters over the limit</li> <li>5 points: Topic warrants being a legislative principle</li> <li>3 points: Topic may not warrant being a legislative principle and/or should be combined with an existing legislative principle</li> <li>0 points: Topic does not warrant being a legislative principle and/or is overly specific</li> </ul>
3. Legislative Principle Language Is the topic and language broad enough to be appropriate for a legislative principle?	<ul> <li>5 points: Language is broad enough to apply to legislative issues and resolutions</li> <li>3 points: Language is slightly specific and may need to be broadened</li> <li>0 points: Language is overly specific and should be broadened</li> </ul>
4. Persuasive Statement Word Limit  Does it meet the limit of fewer than 250 words?	<ul> <li>3 points: YES</li> <li>1 point: 25 words or less over the limit</li> <li>0 points: More than 25 words over the limit</li> </ul>
5. Persuasive Statement Strength Is it strong?	<ul> <li>5 points: Accurately describes the problem being addressed, the proposed solutions, and makes a strong case for having the issue on the WSPTA platform</li> <li>3 points: Needs some revision to better reflect the issue proposal and/or be more persuasive</li> <li>0 points: Does not aid understanding of the issue and needs major revision</li> </ul>
6. Citations Is the submission information verified?	<ul> <li>5 points: All information is verified</li> <li>3 points: Most information verified; some is not verified</li> </ul>
7. Stand-Alone or Combine Should this legislative principle be combined with an existing legislative principle, another proposal, or other part of the platform?	YES     NO
Section C – Submitter/Team Availability	4 Points Max
8. Availability – Future Advocacy Is the submitter/team willing and able to participate in advocacy for this principle, including taking part in weekly conference calls and testifying in Olympia?	<ul> <li>3 points: Yes</li> <li>1 point: Some involvement</li> <li>0 points: No</li> </ul>
9. Availability – Legislative Assembly Will the submitter be available to attend legislative assembly?	<ul> <li>1 point: Yes</li> <li>0 points: No or unsure at this time</li> </ul>
Total	30 Points Max